



Australian Government

Department of the Environment and Heritage

Mr Marco Gonzalez
Executive Secretary
Ozone Secretariat
P.O. Box 30552
Nairobi 00100
KENYA

Dear Mr Gonzalez,

Australian report under Decision Ex.I/4 paragraphs 1 and 2.

In accordance with this decision of the Parties at the first Extraordinary Meeting of the Parties, please find enclosed with this letter a summary of Australia's currently available alternatives to methyl bromide.

Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Decision Ex.I/4 decided:

1. That each party which has an agreed critical use under the present decision should submit available information to the ozone Secretariat before 1 February 2005 on the alternatives available, listed according to their pre-harvest or post-harvest uses, and the possible date of registration, if required for each alternative; and on the alternatives which the Parties can disclose to be under development, listed according to their pre-harvest or post-harvest uses and the likely date of registration, if required and known, for those alternatives, and the Ozone Secretariat shall be requested to provide a template for that information and to post the said information in a database entitled 'Methyl Bromide Alternatives' on its web site;
2. That each party that submits a nomination for the production and consumption of methyl bromide for years after 2005 should also submit information listed in paragraph 1 to the Ozone Secretariat to include in its Methyl Bromide Alternatives database and that any other Party which no longer consumes methyl bromide should also submit information on alternatives to the Secretariat for inclusion in that database.

The attached report represents Australia's report for its current nominations in accordance with this Decision.

Please note that while alternatives may be registered and available in Australia, this may not necessarily mean that they are currently available, or are technically and economically feasible for use in some circumstances. Secondly, possible dates of

registration are not able to be provided for possible alternatives currently under review for possible registration. This information is generally considered to be commercially confidential.

Should you have any questions regarding the content of the report, please feel free to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Signed

Patrick McInerney
Director
Ozone and Synthetic Gas Team
Department of the Environment and Heritage

24 February 2006

Critical Use Exemption	Alternatives available	Pre-harvest or post-harvest	Possible date of registration, if required
Cut flowers – protected	1,3- Dichloropropene	Pre-plant soil fumigation	Yes
	Telone C35		Yes
	Vorlex		Yes – all active components are registered, but no product is.
	Pebulate		Yes
	1,3- Dichloropropene, metham sodium		Yes
	Basamid		Yes
	Basamid, chloropicrin		Yes
	Chloropicrin		Yes
	Enzone		No
Propylene oxide	No – only registered for use as an antifouling paint		
Cut flowers, bulbs – protected	1,3- Dichloropropene	Pre-plant soil fumigation	Yes
	Telone C35		Yes
	Vorlex		Yes – all components are registered, but no product is.
	Pebulate		Yes
	1,3- Dichloropropene, metham sodium		Yes
	Basamid		Yes
	Basamid, chloropicrin		Yes

	Chloropicrin		Yes
	Enzone		No
	Propylene oxide		No – only registered for use as an antifouling paint
Rice (consumer packs)	Carbon disulphide	Post harvest	No
	Carbon dioxide		Yes
	Ecofume		Yes
	Phosphine (alone and in combination)		Yes (Gastion, Eco2fume)
	Heat treatment		Yes
	Inert dust / diatomaceous earth		No
	Irradiation		Yes
	Low volatility pesticides (Dichlorvos, Fenitrothion, Drift, Rural Milspot Fumigant)		Yes (NSW, QLD only for Drift)
	Steam treatment		-
	Vacuum treatment / hermetic storage		-
Strawberry runners	Telone C35	Pre-plant soil fumigation	Yes
	Dazomet		Yes
	Methyl iodide		No
	Ethylidinitrile		No
	Propylene oxide		No – only registered for use as an antifouling paint

